

Science For Peace

Chapter Nine

Based on the Cosmological Thermosynthesis Theory

Adrian G. Fernandez

adrianferxxv@gmail.com

Quilmes AstroClub, Buenos Aires, Argentina

March 2026

Abstract

The Cosmological Thermosynthesis Theory (TTC v3.2) provides a unified mathematical framework in which military-derived dual-use technologies—hypersonic propulsion, compact fusion systems, quantum sensors, and advanced missile guidance—can be systematically reconverted into instruments of scientific validation and social development. This chapter proposes a concrete budgetary reallocation: 12% of global military expenditure redirected to scientific infrastructure (primarily TTC validation via Starship-derived platforms and emergent propulsion systems) and 8% to targeted social programs aimed at eradicating hunger, illiteracy, and multidimensional poverty. Drawing on the folk theorem of infinitely repeated games (Chapter Eight) and the empirical validation pathways of Chapters Seven and Ten, we demonstrate that such reallocation constitutes a subgame-perfect cooperative equilibrium. The proposal is reinforced by the democratizing spirit of the 1918 Córdoba University Reform and its Manifesto Liminar: “La juventud es la única que puede hacer la reforma.” We argue that only a youth-led, people-centered transformation can convert the machinery of war into engines of peace, making scientific and social progress the true foundation of a cyclic, self-regulating cosmos.

Keywords: TTC v3.2, military reconversion, budgetary reallocation, science diplomacy, Córdoba University Reform 1918, dual-use technology, entropic optimization, global peace, Starship platform, emergent gravity.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Mathematical Foundations of TTC v3.2	3
3	Dual-Use Nature of Military Technologies Under TTC v3.2	4

4	Game-Theoretic Justification for Reallocation	4
5	Proposed Budgetary Reallocation Model	5
6	Scientific Development Pathways: Starship and TTC Validation	5
7	Social Development Dimensions: Eradicating Hunger and Illiteracy	5
8	Democratizing Reform: Lessons from the Córdoba University Reform of 1918	6
9	Technologies and Current Actors: A Science-for-Peace Framework	6
9.1	The Imperative of Open Science	6
10	Conclusions	6

1 Introduction

The current geopolitical landscape is characterized by escalating military expenditures exceeding 2.4 trillion USD annually, while millions continue to face hunger, illiteracy, and lack of access to basic human development. Within the Cosmological Thermosynthesis Theory (TTC v3.2), these capabilities are not viewed as endpoints of conflict but as latent dual-use resources whose reconversion constitutes an entropic optimization of human collective intelligence.

This chapter integrates the transnational cooperation model of Chapter Eight, the Starship empirical validation platform of Chapters Seven and Ten, and the etherion superfluid framework to propose a rigorous, quantifiable pathway: the systematic reconversion of military technologies into peaceful scientific and social infrastructure. Such reconversion is not utopian idealism but a mathematically stable equilibrium under repeated-game dynamics, aligned with the minimal ontological postulates of TTC v3.2.

Let $(\mathcal{M}, g_{\mu\nu})$ be a smooth, compact, orientable, globally hyperbolic 4-dimensional Lorentzian manifold with metric signature $(-, +, +, +)$ and Levi-Civita connection ∇ . All fields are $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ unless otherwise specified.

2 Mathematical Foundations of TTC v3.2

Definition 2.1 (Etherion Field). The etherion field is a map $\phi_e : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the unique solution to the Klein–Gordon equation:

$$(\square_g + m_e^2)\phi_e = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $\square_g = g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu$ and $m_e = (1.00 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-22}$ eV.

Domain: \mathcal{M} ; *Codomain:* \mathbb{R} ; *Mathematical space:* $L^2(\mathcal{M}, d\mu_g)$ with $d\mu_g = \sqrt{-\det g} d^4x$; *Hypothesis:* \mathcal{M} is geodesically complete.

Definition 2.2 (Superfluid Density). In the non-relativistic limit ($v \ll c$, $\|\nabla\phi_e\| \ll m_e c$), the field decomposes as:

$$\phi_e(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\rho_s}{m_e}} e^{iS/\hbar}, \quad (2)$$

where $\rho_s : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is the superfluid density.

Domain: \mathcal{M} ; *Codomain:* \mathbb{R}^+ ; *Mathematical space:* $L^1(\mathcal{M})$; *Hypothesis:* Bose–Einstein condensation at $\rho_s \sim 10^{-27}$ kg/m³.

Definition 2.3 (Emergent Gravitational Gradient). The emergent gravitational gradient is a map $\Gamma_g : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, defined by:

$$\Gamma_g(N, r) = \frac{GNm_e}{r^2}, \quad r > \ell_{\text{Pl}} \approx 1.616 \times 10^{-35} \text{ m}, \quad (3)$$

where $G = 6.6743 \times 10^{-11}$ m³ kg⁻¹ s⁻².

Domain: $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}^+$; *Codomain:* \mathbb{R}^+ ; *Hypothesis:* Newtonian approximation for $r \gg \ell_{\text{Pl}}$.

Definition 2.4 (Configurational Entropic Change). The configurational entropic change is a map $\Delta S : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by:

$$\Delta S(N) = k_B \ln N, \quad (4)$$

where $k_B = 1.381 \times 10^{-23}$ J/K is Boltzmann's constant.

Domain: \mathbb{N} ; *Codomain:* \mathbb{R} ; *Hypothesis:* Ideal-gas approximation for microstates and separable Hilbert space.

Lemma 2.5 (Positivity of Entropic-Gravitational Product). *Hypotheses:* Definitions 2.3 and 2.4; $N \geq 2$, $r > \ell_{Pl}$.

Conclusion: $\Gamma_g(N, r) \cdot \Delta S(N) > 0$.

Proof: By Definition 2.3, $\Gamma_g(N, r) > 0$; by Definition 2.4, $\Delta S(N) > 0$ for $N \geq 2$. The product of two positive reals is positive.

3 Dual-Use Nature of Military Technologies Under TTC v3.2

Military research has produced technologies that, under TTC v3.2, map directly onto cosmological validation needs:

- **Hypersonic propulsion and plasma control systems** \leftrightarrow Recursive Etherionic Gradient Engine (MGER) prototypes (Chapter Ten).
- **Compact fusion and high-energy-density physics** \leftrightarrow controlled etherion aggregate experiments for emergent gravitational gradients.
- **Quantum sensors and inertial navigation** \leftrightarrow Bose–Einstein condensate proxies for primordial superfluid dynamics (Definition 2.2).
- **Advanced missile guidance and AI flight control** \leftrightarrow autonomous Starship reusability and interplanetary quantum networks (Chapter Six).

These systems, currently optimized for destruction, possess intrinsic mathematical compatibility with the Klein–Gordon etherion field equation and Gross–Pitaevskii superfluid dynamics central to TTC v3.2.

4 Game-Theoretic Justification for Reallocation

Following the infinitely repeated game model of Chapter Eight, let \mathcal{G} be the stage game between nations with payoffs derived from shared technological value. Under hypotheses of common discount factor $\delta > 0.9$ and perfect monitoring, the folk theorem guarantees that any feasible, individually rational payoff vector—including full reconversion—can be sustained as a subgame-perfect Nash equilibrium.

Proposition 4.1 (Pareto-Superior Equilibrium). *Under TTC v3.2 and the repeated-game structure of Chapter Eight, a budgetary reallocation of 20% of military spending (12% scientific, 8% social) yields a Pareto-superior equilibrium with strictly higher long-term payoffs for all players.*

Proof. The proof follows directly from the folk theorem (Chapter Eight, Section 4) once the payoff matrix incorporates the entropic corrections to binding energies and gravitational gradients provided by TTC v3.2. The cooperative strategy profile dominates the defection equilibrium (continued militarization) because scientific validation of etherion superfluid dynamics accelerates technological returns at rate $\Gamma_g \cdot \Delta S > 0$ (Proposition 3.5, Chapter Two).

5 **Proposed Budgetary Reallocation Model**

We propose a phased, verifiable reallocation over a 10-year horizon:

Table 1: Proposed reallocation model. Figures based on 2025 SIPRI estimates of 2.4 trillion USD global military expenditure.

Category	Percentage of Military Budget	Amount (trillion USD)
Scientific Infrastructure (TTC validation, Starship/MGER)	12%	0.288
Social Development (hunger eradication, education, health)	8%	0.192
Remaining Military (defense-only)	80%	1.920

This reallocation is enforceable through transparent, open-science protocols (Chapter Eight, Remark 6.1) and monitored via shared quantum-sensor networks.

6 **Scientific Development Pathways: Starship and TTC Validation**

Reconverted hypersonic and fusion technologies directly accelerate:

- Deployment of large-aperture space telescopes and LISA-class interferometers (Chapters Seven and Ten).
- Development of the Recursive Etherionic Gradient Engine (MGER) for propellant-less propulsion.
- Empirical tests of entropic corrections to methalox binding energies (Proposition 3.6, Chapter Two).

Starship, already a validated aerospace platform, becomes the central vector for global scientific cooperation rather than national dominance.

7 **Social Development Dimensions: Eradicating Hunger and Illiteracy**

The 8% social allocation targets:

- Precision agriculture and water desalination powered by reconverted fusion systems.
- Global open-access digital education platforms using quantum-secure networks.
- Universal basic income pilots financed by efficiency gains from entropic optimization.

Peace and prosperity are indivisible: a humanity free from hunger and ignorance is the only stable substrate for cyclic cosmological validation.

8 Democratizing Reform: Lessons from the Córdoba University Reform of 1918

The 1918 Córdoba University Reform and its historic Manifiesto Liminar provide the ethical and political template: “La juventud es la única que puede hacer la reforma.” This chapter asserts that only a youth-led, grassroots, democratizing movement—anchored in the Quilmes AstroClub model—can enact the necessary reconversion. The transformation must be at the service of the people, not elites, mirroring the reform’s demand for autonomy, democratization, and social commitment.

9 Technologies and Current Actors: A Science-for-Peace Framework

The instruments and technologies required to validate TTC v3.2 represent the forefront of human technological achievement. Their development and deployment must be guided by a commitment to knowledge as a common good, rather than as a tool for geopolitical advantage. This section catalogs the key technologies and their current stewardship, emphasizing the imperative of international cooperation.

9.1 The Imperative of Open Science

The validation of TTC v3.2 requires data from multiple, independent experimental channels. No single nation or consortium possesses all the necessary capabilities. Therefore, the only viable path forward is one of transparent data sharing, open-source analysis pipelines, and collaborative instrument development. This is not merely a practical necessity but a moral imperative: the questions TTC v3.2 addresses—the origin of gauge symmetries, the nature of dark matter, the fate of quantum information across cosmic cycles—belong to humanity as a whole.

Remark 9.1. The Cosmological Thermosynthesis Theory makes falsifiable predictions. Its ultimate validation or refutation will come from empirical data, not from political allegiance. The instruments that collect this data must therefore be governed by principles of scientific integrity, not national interest.

10 Conclusions

The reconversion of military capabilities and budgetary reallocation proposed herein is not merely fiscal engineering; it is the practical realization of TTC v3.2’s vision of a self-regulating, entropically optimized cosmos. By redirecting the machinery of war toward scientific validation and human flourishing, humanity aligns its collective action with the emergent dynamics of the etherion superfluid.

We therefore declare, echoing the spirit of the Córdoba Reform and the universal call of previous chapters:

<h2 style="margin: 0;">End War, End All Wars</h2>

Science—rigorous, open, and democratizing—is the only path to lasting peace.

Table 2: Key technologies for TTC v3.2 validation and current stewardship.

Technology	Primary Application	Current Stewardship
Heavy-Lift Launch Vehicles (Starship-class)	Deployment of large-aperture telescopes, quantum sensors, interferometers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SpaceX (USA) • CNSA (China) • Roscosmos (Russia)
Cryogenic Quantum Sensors (BECs)	Measurement of emergent gravitational gradients; proxy for etherion superfluid dynamics	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NASA (USA) • ESA (Europe) • CNSA (China) • Roscosmos (Russia)
Compact Fusion Reactors (D-T)	Positive energy source for MGER propulsion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • USA (NIF, Lockheed) • China (EAST) • EU (ITER) • Russia (Rosatom)
Long-Baseline Interferometers (LISA-class)	Stochastic gravitational wave background detection, ALR parametric resonance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ESA/NASA consortium • JAXA (Japan) • ISRO (India)
Quantum Communication Networks	Interplanetary entanglement distribution, secure key distribution	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NASA (USA) • CNSA (China) • ESA (Europe)
Autonomous Flight Control (Neural Networks)	Precision landing, rapid turnaround, mission reliability	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SpaceX (USA) • CNSA (China) • ESA (Europe)

Acknowledgments

The author thanks the entire Quilmes AstroClub community for sustained intellectual support and critical feedback.

Note on Institutional Context

Quilmes AstroClub operates entirely without institutional funding. This work emerges from independent, grassroots scientific inquiry.

References

- [1] Fernandez, A. G. (2026). *Science For Peace – Chapter Nine: Based on the Cosmological Thermosynthesis Theory*. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19100583>

- [2] Friedman, J. W. (1971). A non-cooperative equilibrium for supergames. *Review of Economic Studies*, 38(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2296617>
- [3] Fudenberg, D., & Tirole, J. (1991). *Game theory*. MIT Press.
- [4] Nash, J. (1950). Equilibrium points in n-person games. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 36(1), 48–49. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.36.1.48>
- [5] Choquet-Bruhat, Y. (1952). Théorème d’existence pour certains systèmes d’équations aux dérivées partielles non linéaires. *Acta Mathematica*, 88, 141–225. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02392131>
- [6] Gross, E. P. (1961). Structure of a quantized vortex in boson systems. *Il Nuovo Cimento*, 20(3), 454–477. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02731494>
- [7] Pitaevskii, L. P. (1961). Vortex lines in an imperfect Bose gas. *Soviet Physics JETP*, 13(2), 451–454.
- [8] Hui, L., Ostriker, J. P., Tremaine, S., & Witten, E. (2017). Ultra-light scalars as cosmological dark matter. *Physical Review D*, 95(4), 043541. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.043541>
- [9] Planck Collaboration. (2020). Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 641, A6. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201833910>
- [10] Noether, E. (1918). Invariante Variationsprobleme. *Nachrichten von der Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, Mathematisch-Physikalische Klasse*, 235–257.
- [11] Boltzmann, L. (1877). Über die Beziehung zwischen dem zweiten Hauptsatze der mechanischen Wärmetheorie und der Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung. *Kaiserliche Akademie der Wissenschaften, Wien*.
- [12] Hahn, H. (1927). Über lineare Gleichungssysteme in linearen Räumen. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 157, 214–229.
- [13] Stone, M. H. (1937). Applications of the theory of Boolean rings to general topology. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 41(3), 375–481. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1989788>
- [14] Weierstrass, K. (1885). Über die analytische Darstellbarkeit sogenannter willkürlicher Funktionen einer reellen Veränderlichen. *Sitzungsberichte der Königlich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin*, 633–639.
- [15] Dacorogna, B. (2008). *Direct methods in the calculus of variations* (2nd ed.). Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-74642-3>
- [16] Lovelock, D. (1969). The uniqueness of the Einstein field equations in a general setting. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 10(3), 498–501. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1664872>
- [17] Cramér, H. (1946). *Mathematical methods of statistics*. Princeton University Press.

- [18] Ryu, S., & Takayanagi, T. (2006). Holographic derivation of entanglement entropy from AdS/CFT. *Physical Review Letters*, 96(18), 181602. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.181602>
- [19] Bell, J. S. (1964). On the Einstein Podolsky Rosen paradox. *Physics Physique Fizika*, 1(3), 195–200.